

Motorola 52nd Street Superfund Site Community Advisory Group (CAG) Meeting

Wednesday, October 22, 2003
6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.
Balsz Elementary School District #31
4825 E. Roosevelt, Conference Room
Phoenix, Arizona

MINUTES

Members in attendance:

Dr. Ruth Ann Marsten
Mary Moore
Tommie Padgett

ADEQ Staff in attendance:

Kris Kommalan, ADEQ Project Manager
John Kivett, ADEQ Hydrologist
Monica Mascareno, ADEQ Community Involvement
Coordinator

EPA Staff in attendance:

Nadia Hollan, EPA Project Manager
Viola Cooper, EPA Community Involvement Coordinator

ADEQ Contractor:

Nancy Nesky, LFR Levine Fricke

Others in attendance:

Madora Moore
Anna I. Hall
Bill Hulsey
Tom Suriano
Barbara H. Murphy
Steve Whillier
Priscilla Fellows
Betsy Sweeney
Misael Cabrera
Tom Mooney
Amy Halm
Jerry D. Worsham II
Stan Jolliffe
Anna Jolliffe
Martha Breitenbach
Bob Frank
Rene Chase Dufault
Vincie Muhammad
Marvin Martin
Jeanne Lindsay

PIOU #04-082

1. *Welcome and Introductions (Monica Mascareno, ADEQ)*

Monica Mascareno, new Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Community Involvement Coordinator for the site, opened the meeting. All ADEQ staff, EPA staff, Company Representatives, CAG members, and audience members introduced themselves. Ms. Mascareno briefly reviewed the meeting agenda.

2. *CAG Membership: Discussion of New CAG Members and Roles & Responsibilities (Monica Mascareno, ADEQ)*

Ms. Mascareno introduced the three new members to the Community Advisory Group (CAG): Jeanne Lindsay (not present due to out of town travel), Marvin Martin, and Martha Breitenbach. Ms. Mascareno indicated that the overall role of the CAG members was to review documents for the site and to provide comments on these documents to ADEQ and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

(EPA). The role of the CAG members is also to share their viewpoints and concerns, as well as those of the community members whom they represent, regarding the site's activities.

Although seven members have not attended a CAG meeting since 2001, the CAG members did not wish to have these individuals removed from the CAG. One CAG member suggested that better efforts be made to use the Neighborhood Association lists maintained by the City of Phoenix. The mechanisms are in place to promote recruitment; the mechanisms just need to be implemented. Dr. Marston volunteered to help recruit community members from the Encanto Village and Mr. Paul Barnes, community member, volunteered to help recruit community members from the Camelback East neighborhood.

3. *Update on OUI Site Visit for Interested Community Members (Tom Suriano, Motorola)*

Mr. Tom Suriano indicated that ON Semiconductor (owner of the former Motorola 52nd Street facility) was able to accommodate approximately 20 people during a site tour. If more than 20 community members were interested in the tour of the OUI treatment facility, then additional tours could be scheduled. Due to the on-going operations, a general open-house format was not possible. Mr. Suriano suggested Saturday, December 6, 2003 at 10:00 am for the tour. Mr. Suriano requested that CAG members and community members talk with their neighbors to find out how many people could attend a site tour on this day. This information should be provided to Kris Kommalan, ADEQ Project Manager.

Once the details of the site tour have been finalized, a site map with parking information and site entry information will be mailed to those that have expressed an interest.

4. *OUI Work Plan for Soil Vapor Intrusion Risk Assessment (Kris Kommalan, ADEQ)*

Kris Kommalan, ADEQ Project Manager, stated that Motorola, Inc. had submitted their work plan to complete an updated risk assessment to evaluate the potential for soil vapor intrusion in the Operable Unit 1 (OU1) area. The work plan was submitted on September 17, 2003, and both ADEQ and the U.S.EPA are reviewing the work plan. A copy of the work plan can be viewed at any of the four site repositories (ADEQ Records Center, Burton Barr Library, Saguaro Library, or the U.S.EPA Region IX Superfund Records Center in San Francisco, CA). Public comments are encouraged and can be submitted in writing until November 30, 2003 to Kris Kommalan at 1110 W. Washington St., Phoenix, Arizona, 85007.

5. *Update on Honeywell's Corrective Action Plan to Address the Jet Fuel Plume (John Kivett, ADEQ Superfund Program and Ian Bingham, ADEQ Underground Storage Tank Program)*

John Kivett, ADEQ Project Hydrologist for the Superfund Site, provided background information regarding the nature of the jet fuel plume and how it is affected by the chlorinated solvent plume in the same area. Mr. Kivett also explained that ADEQ's Superfund Programs Section (SPS), and U.S. EPA are responsible for ensuring a thorough investigation of the chlorinated solvent plume and for selecting a successful remedy for this contamination. Further, the ADEQ Underground Storage Tank (UST) Section is responsible for ensuring that a thorough investigation of the jet fuel release is completed and that a reasonable and technically feasible remedy is implemented. The U.S. EPA and ADEQ's SPS and

UST programs are working together to make sure that the remedy selected for the jet fuel release will work with the eventual remedy selected for the chlorinated solvent plume.

Mr. Kivett explained that Honeywell International, Inc. (Honeywell) submitted a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) on July 18, 2003 which described the advantages, disadvantages, and costs of three alternatives to cleanup the jet fuel release at Honeywell's 34th Street facility. The CAP is a UST program-specific document and does not consider investigation or remediation alternatives for the chlorinated solvent plume.

Mr. Kivett explained that of the three alternatives evaluated in the CAP, Honeywell recommended Alternative 3 which employed free product recovery, bio-enhanced soil vapor extraction, and monitored natural attenuation. ADEQ did not accept this recommendation. Instead, ADEQ gave preliminary approval to Honeywell's Alternative 2 which included multi-phase extraction, soil vapor extraction, and monitored natural attenuation. ADEQ's UST section believes that Alternative 2 is more aggressive and would be more effective at remediating the jet fuel release in a timely and cost effective manner.

Mr. Ian Bingham, Manager of ADEQ's UST Section, described the appeal process that is available to Honeywell should Honeywell wish to challenge ADEQ's preliminary approval of Alternative 2. Under the current schedule, the public comment period should begin on or about November 7, 2003 and continue for a period of 30 calendar days. If Honeywell appeals ADEQ's preliminary approval of the CAP, there would be a stay on the public comment period until the appeal processes have been completed. This appeal process could take several months. Mr. Bingham indicated that the information provided at tonight's CAG meeting was more general in nature. If community members would like to have a more detailed or substantive discussion on the contents of the CAP and the rationale for ADEQ's preliminary approval, a separate public meeting can be held. One community member indicated that the comments that should be submitted on the CAP should be technical in nature regarding the alternatives evaluated in the CAP. Mr. Bingham concurred with this observation. If, based on the comments received, there are significant changes, the CAP can be revised. Questions or comments on the Honeywell CAP should be directed to the Case Manager for this project, Mr. Mark Lucas. Mr. Lucas can be reached at 1110 W. Washington St., Phoenix, Arizona 85007 or via telephone at (602) 771- 4297.

6. *General Notices Sent to Potentially Responsible Parties (Nadia Hollan, USEPA)*

Ms. Nadia Hollan, EPA Project Manager, indicated that the General Notice letter sent to companies or potentially responsible parties (PRPs) for this site stated that EPA has reason to believe that they are potentially liable or responsible for cleaning up groundwater contamination at the Motorola 52nd Street Superfund Site. Ms. Hollan described one of the handouts which illustrated the locations of the 11 properties/addresses in OU2 and the 10 properties/addresses in OU3 which have been identified by EPA as being the location of where hazardous substances relevant to the contamination at this site have been used, stored, or disposed. For OU2's 11 locations, a total of 16 companies and/or individuals received a General Notice letter. For OU3's 10 locations, another 16 companies and/or individuals received a General Notice letter. A detailed description of the properties/addresses identified by EPA and the names of the companies and/or individuals who received a General Notice letter can be found in the site repository files. Interested community members can also contact ADEQ or USEPA for this information. Ms. Hollan indicated that EPA will further evaluate those companies and/or individuals that received a General Notice letter to evaluate their potential liability at this site. For some or all of these companies

and/or individuals, EPA may send a Special Notice Letter which would require a remedial investigation to evaluate the presence of hazardous substances on the property.

Ms. Hollan indicated that in July 2001 EPA mailed 80-100 requests for information (Section 104(e)) letters to companies and individuals and conducted numerous follow-up calls on those that did not respond or did not respond with sufficient information. Ms. Hollan indicated that there will likely be more General Notice letters prepared and mailed by EPA. One community member asked if the issuance of the Special Notice Letters to the original group of 32 companies and/or individuals indicated above would be delayed until the EPA has completed the General Notice process. Ms. Hollan indicated that this is not likely since EPA would like to begin investigations on those properties where sufficient evidence exists to warrant an investigation. Ms. Hollan stated that Special Notice letters could be sent out as early as the end of December 2003. However, Ms. Hollan did state that EPA would consider this request to hold off on the Special Notice letters.

7. *Community Involvement Plan Update*

The updated Community Involvement Plan (CIP) for the Motorola 52nd Street Superfund Site is currently being reviewed by ADEQ and EPA. It is expected that the final CIP should be available in the local repositories some time in January 2004. After the final document is published, the agencies will begin work on translating the CIP into Spanish.

8. *Call to the Public*

One CAG member posed several technical questions regarding Honeywell's CAP and the information used to evaluate the alternatives. Honeywell representatives were unable to answer all of the questions, but stated that they would follow-up with the CAG member to address questions.

Another CAG member requested an update on the voluntary remediation by the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) that EPA mentioned at the July 28, 2003 CAG meeting. Ms. Hollan indicated that negotiations between EPA and UPRR have failed to produce a settlement. However, UPRR has agreed to conduct the same investigation without a court-ordered settlement.

9. *Future Meeting Plans*

Ms. Mascareno indicated that efforts have been made to have requested speakers present at future CAG meetings, including City of Phoenix Water Department, City of Phoenix Aviation Department, and the Arizona Fuel Facilities Corporation.

Ms. Hollan indicated that EPA is drafting a new fact sheet for OU2 and would like to have the CAG members review the draft to ensure that the information is provided in a clear and understandable manner and that sufficient graphics are used to present the data. A draft of the fact sheet should be available in November 2003. The CAG members indicated that they are interested in reviewing the draft and providing comments. The CAG members requested that EPA email as well as send a hard copy of the draft fact sheet to facilitate their review. Ms. Hollan indicated that the OU2 fact sheet can be discussed at the next CAG meeting.

The next meeting has been scheduled for Thursday, January 22, 2004. A meeting location has not yet been confirmed. A copy of the agenda, once set, will be mailed to all interested parties on the mailing list.

Unless otherwise directed above for the public comments submitted on the Soil Vapor Intrusion Risk Assessment Work Plan or the Honeywell Corrective Action Plan, please contact Monica Mascareno, ADEQ Community Involvement Coordinator, at (602) 771-4710 for all information concerning the site.